NOTE CHANGES MAYE BY THE COURT 1 2 3 4 5 6 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 Civil No.: 2:14-cv-00670-RGK-AGR ANGEL AGUIAR, Individually and on 12 Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, Hon. R. Gary Klausner 13 POSEDI ORDER GRANTING Plaintiff, 14 VS. 15 MERISANT COMPANY, and WHOLE INCENTIVE AWARD 16 EARTH SWEETENER COMPANY, 17 LLC, 18 Defendants. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S Civ. No.: 2:14-cv-00670-RKG(AGRx) MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF ATTORNEYS' FEE AWARD, EXPENSE REIMBURSEMENT, AND INCENTIVE AWARD

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF ATTORNEYS' FEE AWARD, EXPENSE REIMBURSEMENT, AND INCENTIVE AWARD

This matter having come before the Court on Plaintiff's motion for approval of attorneys' fee award, expense reimbursement, and incentive award; the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein and otherwise being fully informed of the premises and good cause appearing therefore,

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

- 1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement Agreement dated August 18, 2014 (the "Settlement") entered into by and between Plaintiff Angel Aguiar ("Plaintiff") and Defendants Merisant Company and Whole Earth Sweetener Co., LLC (collectively, "Defendants"), and all capitalized terms used, but not defined herein, shall have the same meaning as in the Settlement.
- 2. The Court exercises diversity jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d) and all matters relating thereto, including all members of the Settlement Class who have not timely and validly requested exclusion.
- 3. The Court finds that an award of attorneys' fees under the percentage-of-recovery method is proper in this case, and further finds that a fee award of 376,146 of the Settlement Fund, which is \$495,000.00, including expenses, is fair, reasonable, and consistent with awards made in similar cases. The actual fee award is \$376,146, which is 23% of the Settlement Fund.
- 4. The Court has also performed a rough lodestar cross-check and finds that the hours and rates are reasonable for the amount and specialized type of work performed. Moreover, the multiplier is well within the range of reasonableness. See, e.g. Wershba v. Apple Computer, Inc., 91 Cal. App. 4th 224, 225 (2001) ("Multipliers can range from 2 to 4 or even higher"); Chavel v. Netflix, Inc., 162 Cal. App. 4th 43, 66 (2008) (same).
- 5. The Court hereby awards Class Counsel attorneys' fees of 376,146
  Settlement Fund, which is \$495,000.00, including expenses. Said attorneys' fees

Civ. No.: 2:14-cv-00670-RKG(AGRx)

1	and expenses shall be allocated by Class Counsel in a manner which in their good
2	faith judgment reflects each counsel's contribution to the institution, prosecution,
3	and resolution of the Litigation.
4	6. The Court also finds that the request for reimbursement of expenses in-
5	the aggregate amount of \$118,854.00, which is included in the total award of
6	\$495,000, is reasonable and should be awarded. any awarded the clean
7	7. The awarded attorneys' fees and expenses shall be paid from the
8	Settlement Fund immediately after the date this Order is executed, subject to the
9	terms, conditions, and obligations of Agreement, and in particular §8.2 thereof,
10	which terms, conditions, and obligations are incorporated herein.
11	8. Furthermore, the Court finds that the request for an incentive award
12	for the named Plaintiff is fair and reasonable, and thus, awards Angel Aguiar
13	\$4,000 from the Settlement Fund. See Hopson v. Hanesbrands Inc., Case No. CV-
14	08-0844 EDL, 2009 WL 928133, *10 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 3, 2009) ("In general, courts
15	have found that \$5,000 incentive payments are reasonable."); Rodriguez v. West
16	Publ'g Corp., 563 F.3d 948, 958-59 (9th Cir. 2009) ("Incentive awards are fairly
17	typical in class action cases."). The Court has reviewed the Declaration of Angel
18	Aguiar and orders that she be awarded \$4,000.
19	
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.
21	rr to oner
22	DATED: FEB 1 8 2015 Jan Cauch
23	HOM/R. GARY KLAUSNER United States District Judge
24	
25	
26	
27	$2_{\cdot}$
28	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S Civ. No.: 2:14-cv-00670-RKG(AGRx) MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF ATTORNEYS' FEE AWARD, EXPENSE REIMBURSEMENT, AND INCENTIVE AWARD